



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

## Edinburgh Research Explorer

### Relative costs of offspring sex and offspring survival in a polygynous mammal

**Citation for published version:**

Walling, C, Froy, H, Pemberton, J, Clutton-Brock, TH & Kruuk, L 2016, 'Relative costs of offspring sex and offspring survival in a polygynous mammal', *Biology letters*, vol. 12, no. 9, 20160417.  
<https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2016.0417>

**Digital Object Identifier (DOI):**

[10.1098/rsbl.2016.0417](https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2016.0417)

**Link:**

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

**Document Version:**

Peer reviewed version

**Published In:**

Biology letters

**General rights**

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

**Take down policy**

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact [openaccess@ed.ac.uk](mailto:openaccess@ed.ac.uk) providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



# BIOLOGY LETTERS

## Relative costs of offspring sex and offspring survival in a polygynous mammal

Journal:	<i>Biology Letters</i>
Manuscript ID	RSBL-2016-0417.R2
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	05-Aug-2016
Complete List of Authors:	Froy , Hannah; University of Edinburgh, Institute of Evolutionary Biology Walling, Craig; University of Edinburgh, Institute of Evolutionary Biology Pemberton, Josephine; University of Edinburgh, Institute of Evolutionary Biology Clutton-Brock, Tim; University of Cambridge, Department of Zoology Kruuk, Loeske; Australian National University, Research School of Biology
Subject:	Evolution < BIOLOGY, Ecology < BIOLOGY
Categories:	Evolutionary Biology
Keywords:	Cost of reproduction, sex allocation, Cervus elaphus, wild ungulate population

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

1 **Relative costs of offspring sex and offspring survival in a polygynous**  
2 **mammal**

3  
4 Hannah Froy<sup>1</sup>, Craig A Walling<sup>1</sup>, Josephine M Pemberton<sup>1</sup>, Tim H Clutton-Brock<sup>2</sup>, Loeske  
5 EB Kruuk<sup>1,3</sup>

6 <sup>1</sup> Institute of Evolutionary Biology, University of Edinburgh

7 <sup>2</sup> Department of Zoology, University of Cambridge

8 <sup>3</sup> Research School of Biology, Australian National University

9 hannah.froy@ed.ac.uk

10  
11 Costs of reproduction are expected to be ubiquitous in wild animal populations, and  
12 understanding the drivers of variation in these costs is an important aspect of life-history  
13 evolution theory. We use a 43-year dataset from a wild population of red deer to examine the  
14 relative importance of two factors that influence the costs of reproduction to mothers, and to  
15 test whether these costs vary with changing ecological conditions. Like previous studies, our  
16 analyses indicate fitness costs of lactation: mothers whose calves survived the summer  
17 subsequently showed lower survival and fecundity than those whose calves died soon after  
18 birth, accounting for 5% and 14% of the variation in mothers' survival and fecundity,  
19 respectively. The production of a male calf depressed maternal survival and fecundity more  
20 than production of a female, but accounted for <1% of the variation in either fitness  
21 component. There was no evidence for any change in the effect of calf survival or sex with  
22 increasing population density.

23  
24 Cost of reproduction, *Cervus elaphus*, sex allocation, wild ungulate population

## 25 Introduction

26 An understanding of the costs of reproduction is fundamental to life-history evolution theory  
27 (1). The energetic costs of raising offspring increase as they progress through the period of  
28 parental investment, generating fitness costs for the parents (2). These costs can vary with the  
29 characteristics of both the parents (such as their age (3) or social dominance (4)) and the  
30 offspring (such as their size or sex (5)). For example, in sexually size-dimorphic species,  
31 offspring of the larger sex commonly require more resources, and producing and rearing them  
32 can depress mothers' subsequent survival or breeding success, with implications for sex ratio  
33 evolution (4, 6, 7). Reproductive costs may be ecologically or physiologically mediated (8)  
34 and may also vary with environmental conditions (9, 10) though we know little about the  
35 relative magnitude of these effects.

36  
37 Long-term, individual-based studies provide an excellent opportunity to explore the costs of  
38 reproduction in wild animals (3, 9, 10). Here, we extend earlier work on red deer on the Isle  
39 of Rum that has shown that reproduction generates substantial costs to mothers' subsequent  
40 survival and fecundity, which vary with both the longevity of the offspring and its sex (4, 5,  
41 11). We add an additional 26 years' data and use novel statistical methods to quantify the  
42 relative magnitude of costs to maternal survival and fecundity. In addition, we investigate  
43 whether the costs of rearing offspring and the relative costs of producing sons and daughters  
44 changed with population density, which increased over the study period (12).

45

## 46 Methods

47 The unmanaged population of red deer in the North Block of the Isle of Rum, Scotland, has  
48 been studied since 1971, with survival and reproductive history of individuals known from  
49 regular censuses (4, 11). Females that conceive during the autumn rut give birth to a single

50 calf in May–June, and 10% of calves die in their first two weeks of life. Winter mortality  
51 affecting all ages occurs January–March. We used data on all females (aged 3–18 years) that  
52 gave birth to a calf of known sex from 1971–2013 inclusive (13).

53

54 We examined the effects of calf survival and calf sex in a given year on the subsequent  
55 survival and fecundity of the mother. Maternal survival was assessed as survival to May the  
56 following year (n=2888 observations of 636 females), and fecundity by whether she gave  
57 birth to a calf the following year, conditional on her survival (n=2600 observations of 602  
58 females). Calf sex and calf survival to 1 October of the year they were born were included in  
59 the models as 2-level fixed factors. Maternal age (linear and quadratic), population size (over  
60 the subsequent winter; see Supplementary Materials) and calf birth date (days since 1 May)  
61 were included as fixed covariates. Year was fitted as a random multi-level factor in both  
62 models, and maternal identity was fitted as a random term in the fecundity model (see  
63 Supplementary Materials). We tested for differential costs of male and female calves  
64 depending on whether they survived to 1 October by including an interaction between calf  
65 sex and calf survival in each model, and for changes in costs across varying population  
66 densities by including an interaction between either variable and population size.

67

68 Maternal survival and fecundity were modelled as binary traits in Bayesian generalised linear  
69 mixed models with the R package *MCMCglmm* (14), using the categorical family and logit  
70 link function. Continuous predictor variables were mean centred prior to inclusion in models.  
71 Parameter estimates are presented as the posterior mode with 95% credible intervals of 2000  
72 samples with minimal autocorrelation (iterations:  $1.1 \times 10^6$ ; burn-in:  $1 \times 10^5$ ; thinning interval:  
73 500). Marginal  $R^2$  indicates the percentage of variance explained by the fixed effect  
74 component of a model, and can be estimated for generalized linear mixed models as the

variance of the fixed effects divided by the total variance, calculated on the link scale (15). We used the change in marginal  $R^2$  ( $\Delta R^2$ ) when each fixed effect was dropped from the model in turn to estimate the percentage change in the variance in maternal survival and fecundity explained by each of the fixed effects.

## Results

Mothers of calves that survived to October 1 were less likely to survive the next winter (Fig.1a;  $P_{\text{MCMC}} < 0.001$ ) or to breed again the following year (Fig.1b;  $P_{\text{MCMC}} < 0.001$ ) than mothers whose calves died during the course of the summer; this cost explained a substantial proportion of variation in maternal survival and fecundity ( $\Delta R^2 = 5\%$  and  $14\%$  respectively; Table 1). Mothers that gave birth to a male calf were also less likely to survive to the following year (Fig.1a;  $P_{\text{MCMC}} = 0.023$ ), and less likely to give birth the following spring if they did survive (Fig.1b;  $P_{\text{MCMC}} = 0.003$ ). However, calf sex explained  $< 1\%$  of the variation both in survival probability and fecundity (Table 1). There was no significant interaction between the effects of calf sex and calf survival on maternal survival (Table S1). In addition, there was no evidence for a significant interaction between population size and the effect of either calf survival or calf sex on either maternal survival or fecundity (Table S1). For a summary of other fixed effects see Supplementary Materials.

## Discussion

Successful reproduction was costly for red deer females in terms of future survival and fecundity (Fig.1; Table 1). Whether or not the calf was alive at the onset of autumn was the greatest determinant of these post-parturition costs, with mothers of calves that survived to 1 October being  $6.5\%$  less likely to survive the winter and  $36.7\%$  less likely to give birth the following year. This result supports previous findings from this study population, and is

presumably a consequence of the substantial energetic costs of lactation (11, 16). More than 85% of calves that die in summer die within two weeks of birth, meaning their mothers experience minimal costs of lactation. If calves survive to 1 October, they usually survive the next few months (80% of winter deaths occur in February–April), meaning their mothers bear the full costs of lactation, potentially lactating through the winter months if they fail to conceive again (16).

Producing male calves was more costly than producing females calves (Fig.1) (4, 5). Our analysis shows that the effect of calf sex was small in comparison to that of calf survival, explaining <1% of the variation in subsequent maternal survival and fecundity (Table 1). Previous work suggests that the additional costs of raising sons are greater for subordinate mothers than for dominants so that the relative costs of raising sons may vary in relation to the mother's phenotype (4). We found no evidence of any interaction between the effects of calf sex and calf survival, suggesting that the relative cost of males was the same regardless of how long they lived. The same was true if we considered only whether the calf survived beyond its first two weeks, so the relative cost of males did not increase even if their mothers experienced the main period of lactation (from birth to three months). One possible interpretation of these results is that the difference in cost of male versus female offspring is generated during gestation (male calves are ~5.5% heavier at birth). However, evidence that costs of gestation are small in comparison to those of lactation (11) and that sons suck more than daughters (5) suggest that this is unlikely; the lack of interaction may therefore reflect a lack of statistical power given the small magnitude of the main effect of calf sex.

Our analyses showed strong associations with calf birth date: mothers of early-born calves were more likely to survive and to give birth the following year (explaining 2% and 5% of

the variance, respectively; Table 1). This effect could be driven by differences in female condition, since mothers in good condition are likely to conceive and give birth earlier, and also have higher future survival and fecundity. Further analysis revealed that the effect of calf birth date was dependent on calf survival, only being significant when the calf survived beyond 1 October (Table S2), which suggests that mothers in poor condition (who give birth later) suffer higher costs of successful reproduction, as has also been observed in other ungulates (17).

We found evidence of density-dependence in maternal survival and fecundity (Table 1). However, although the effect of calf sex on fecundity was non-significant in the most recent 26 years of data added for this analysis ( $-0.224 [-0.511 - 0.061]$ ,  $P_{\text{MCMC}}=0.136$ ; Table S3), when density was high, there is no indication that the costs associated with calf survival or sex varied with increasing density (Table S1). A possible explanation of this effect is that maternal investment in lactation is adjusted to the mother's food intake so that variation in food availability affects the growth and survival of calves rather than the survival and fecundity of their mothers (18).

Several other studies of sexually dimorphic mammals have shown that mothers invest more energy in sons than daughters (19) but relatively few have been able to investigate whether this affects maternal fecundity (9) or survival (20). Our ability to detect survival costs is unusual for such a long-lived species (18), and may in part be attributable to the large sample sizes available across many years. It may also reflect the relatively harsh conditions on Rum, which are likely to accentuate the reproductive costs of energetic investment (9, 10). We detected reproductive costs despite extensive female heterogeneity, which can frequently mask costs in the highest quality individuals (17, 21). However, it is likely that we are



underestimating the total costs of reproduction, since individuals are expected to reduce energetic investment in breeding to minimise fitness costs (18, 22).

In summary, we found considerable costs of successful reproduction for female red deer in terms of future survival and fecundity. We found evidence for a significantly higher cost of sons than daughters, although this difference was smaller than the effects of juvenile survival. Despite density-dependence in both aspects of maternal performance, we found no indication that reproductive costs varied with ecological conditions. Our analyses illustrate the value of long-term datasets in affording tests of the generality of life-history patterns across changing environments.

## Acknowledgements

We are grateful to all those involved in the long-term study of red deer on the Isle of Rum, particularly the field assistants, and to Scottish Natural Heritage for permitting the study. We thank Marco Festa-Bianchet and another anonymous reviewer for constructive feedback on an earlier draft.

Table 1. Summary of fixed and random effects from generalised linear mixed models of maternal survival and fecundity the year after giving birth to a calf. 'Parameter estimate' gives the mode of the posterior distribution for the coefficient of that variable; parameter estimates are on the link scale for the GLMM (logit link for binomial errors).  $\Delta R^2$  shows the change in marginal  $R^2$  (which is a %) when each fixed effect is dropped from the model in turn.

	Variable	Parameter estimate	Lower CI	Upper CI	$P_{\text{MCMC}}$	$\Delta R^2$
<b>Survival</b>	n=2888 (636 females)	marginal $R^2$ =27.64%				
Random effects	Year	0.638	0.267	1.099		
Fixed effects	Age	0.421	0.202	0.666	<0.001	-16.66
	Age <sup>2</sup>	-0.038	-0.051	-0.027	<0.001	
	Population size	-0.014	-0.025	-0.003	0.004	-2.79
	Calf birth date	-0.021	-0.030	-0.014	<0.001	-2.21
	Calf sex: Male	-0.384	-0.705	-0.080	0.023	-0.65
	Calf survival	-1.844	-2.582	-1.198	<0.001	-5.03
<b>Fecundity</b>	n=2600 (602 females)	marginal $R^2$ =29.04%				
Random effects	Year	0.754	0.348	1.172		
	Maternal ID	1.963	1.381	2.612		
Fixed effects	Age	0.901	0.697	1.088	<0.001	-3.55
	Age <sup>2</sup>	-0.054	-0.065	-0.043	<0.001	
	Population size	-0.029	-0.040	-0.019	<0.001	-7.19
	Calf birth date	-0.043	-0.052	-0.034	<0.001	-5.55
	Calf sex: Male	-0.347	-0.570	-0.085	0.003	-0.50
	Calf survival	-3.564	-4.077	-3.071	<0.001	-14.38

Fig.1. Effects of calf sex and calf survival on a) maternal survival and b) fecundity the year after giving birth. Bars show raw data with standard errors; filled bars represent female calves, and unfilled bars represent males. Black squares show predictions from models incorporating other variables.

## References

1. Stearns SC. The evolution of life histories. Oxford University Press; 1992.

- 182 2. Williams GC. Natural Selection, the costs of reproduction, and a refinement of Lack's  
183 Principle. *The American Naturalist*. 1966;100(916):687-90.
- 184 3. Descamps S, Boutin S, McAdam AG, Berteaux D, Gaillard J-M. Survival costs of  
185 reproduction vary with age in North American red squirrels. *Proceedings of the Royal Society*  
186 *B*. 2009;276(1659):1129-35.
- 187 4. Gomendio M, Clutton-Brock TH, Albon SD, Guinness FE, Simpson MJ. Mammalian  
188 sex ratios and variation in costs of rearing sons and daughters. *Nature*. 1990;343(6255):261-  
189 3.
- 190 5. Clutton-Brock TH, Albon SD, Guinness FE. Parental investment in male and female  
191 offspring in polygynous mammals. *Nature*. 1981;289(5797):487-9.
- 192 6. Stamps JA. When should avian parents differentially provision sons and daughters?  
193 *The American Naturalist*. 1990;135(5):671-85.
- 194 7. Trivers RL, Willard DE. Natural selection of parental ability to vary the sex ratio of  
195 offspring. *Science*. 1973;179(4068):90-2.
- 196 8. Speakman JR. The physiological costs of reproduction in small mammals.  
197 *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B*. 2008;363(1490):375.
- 198 9. Hamel S, Côté SD, Festa-Bianchet M. Maternal characteristics and environment  
199 affect the costs of reproduction in female mountain goats. *Ecology*. 2010;91(7):2034-43.
- 200 10. Barbraud C, Weimerskirch H. Environmental conditions and breeding experience  
201 affect costs of reproduction in blue petrels. *Ecology*. 2005;86(3):682-92.
- 202 11. Clutton-Brock TH, Albon SD, Guinness FE. Fitness costs of gestation and lactation in  
203 wild mammals. *Nature*. 1989;337(6204):260-2.
- 204 12. Stopher KV, Bento AI, Clutton-Brock TH, Pemberton JM, Kruuk LE. Multiple  
205 pathways mediate the effects of climate change on maternal reproductive traits in a red deer  
206 population. *Ecology*. 2014;95(11):3124-38.
- 207 13. Froy H, Walling CA, Pemberton JM, Clutton-Brock TH, Kruuk LEB. Data from:  
208 Relative costs of offspring sex and offspring survival in a polygynous mammal. *Dryad*  
209 *Digital Repository*. 2016 (<http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/dryad.6rj63>).
- 210 14. Hadfield JD. MCMC methods for multi-response generalized linear mixed models:  
211 the MCMCglmm R package. *Journal of Statistical Software*. 2010;33(2):1-22.
- 212 15. Nakagawa S, Schielzeth H. A general and simple method for obtaining R<sup>2</sup> from  
213 generalized linear mixed-effects models. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*. 2013;4(2):133-  
214 42.
- 215 16. Moyes K, Morgan B, Morris A, Morris S, Clutton-Brock T, Coulson T. Individual  
216 differences in reproductive costs examined using multi-state methods. *Journal of Animal*  
217 *Ecology*. 2011;80(2):456-65.
- 218 17. Hamel S, Côté SD, Gaillard J-M, Festa-Bianchet M. Individual variation in  
219 reproductive costs of reproduction: high-quality females always do better. *Journal of Animal*  
220 *Ecology*. 2009;78(1):143-51.
- 221 18. Martin JGA, Festa-Bianchet M. Bighorn ewes transfer the costs of reproduction to  
222 their lambs. *The American Naturalist*. 2010;176(4):414-23.
- 223 19. Clutton-Brock TH. *The evolution of parental care*. Princeton University Press; 1991.

20. Hamel S, Gaillard J-M, Yoccoz NG, Loison A, Bonenfant C, Descamps S. Fitness costs of reproduction depend on life speed: empirical evidence from mammalian populations. *Ecology Letters*. 2010;13(7):915-35.

21. Weladji RB, Loison A, Gaillard J-M, Holand Ø, Mysterud A, Yoccoz NG, et al. Heterogeneity in individual quality overrides costs of reproduction in female reindeer. *Oecologia*. 2008;156(1):237-47.

22. Berube CH, Festa-Bianchet M, Jorgenson JT. Reproductive costs of sons and daughters in Rocky Mountain bighorn sheep. *Behavioral Ecology*. 1996;7(1):60-8.

Ethical statement: Research on the Rum deer takes place under appropriate UK Home Office project licenses (currently 70/8818).

Competing interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Author contributions: HF & LEBK designed the study, HF conducted the analyses and drafted the manuscript, all authors contributed substantially to revisions.

Data availability: Data are available in the Dryad Digital Repository: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/dryad.6rj63>.

Funding statement: This work was funded by a NERC standard grant (NE/I024925/1) to LEBK and JMP.

